

Select Cases (as a solicitor)

- *Naidenov (as liquidator) v Anderson, in the matter of Peach & Co Pty Ltd (in liq)* [2024] FCA 1232
- *Westpac Banking Corporation v Forum Finance Pty Limited (in liq)* [2024] FCA 1176
- *Gazal v Setiawan & Anor* [2024] NSWSC 1046
- *In the matter of Bleecker Property Group Pty Ltd (In Liquidation)* [2023] NSWSC 858
- *Valmont Interiors Pty Ltd v Giorgio Armani Australia Pty Ltd (No 2)* [2021] NSWCA 93
- *In the matter of Ter Wisscha Holdings Pty Ltd* [2021] NSWSC 1447
- The former director of a company in liquidation against a claim arising from alleged unreasonable director related transactions brought by the purported assignee of the debt, where the assignment is disputed based on the liquidator's failure to comply with the Insolvency Practice Schedule.
- The operator of a motor vehicle hire business seeking declaratory relief in the Supreme Court of New South Wales that the notice of termination of lease issued by its lessor is invalid, including obtaining an interim injunction preventing the lessor from re-entering and taking possession of the premises.
- The operator of a motor vehicle hire business seeking damages in the Supreme Court of New South Wales from the operator of a motor vehicle auction house for conversion and detainment relating to a large number of vehicles.
- The owner of a rural New South Wales property seeking damages for trespass to land in the Supreme Court of New South Wales from a neighboring property owner, where a track-in-use deviates from a Crown Road Reserve and onto the proprietor's land.
- An alleged informal partner of a company which operated four fast-food restaurant franchises throughout Greater Sydney, where the principal of the company denied the existence of the partnership or any joint venture and excluded the alleged informal partner from the affairs of the company.
- Two individuals in proceedings before the Supreme Court of New South Wales where the individuals are alleged to have been the bailees of luxury watches and large sums of cash valued at over \$3M, in circumstances where the bailees contend that all items had been returned plaintiff and that the proceedings brought by the plaintiff were a sham.
- A franchisor against claims brought by several franchisees in the Federal Court of Australia alleging misleading and deceptive conduct under the Australian Consumer Law based on alleged representations relating to potential earnings.
- A franchisor against a claim brought before the South Australian Employment Tribunal by the Shop, Distributive and Allied Employees Association on behalf of the employees of a franchisee, seeking relief under section 558B of the Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth).

- A franchisee seeking damages arising out of the franchisor's termination of the franchise agreement, where the franchisee claims that the breach notice underpinning the termination was invalid and ineffective at law.
- The vendor of a shopping center located in Southwest Sydney against a claim brought by the purchaser for misleading and deceptive conduct in relation to the sale transaction.
- A grantee and its principal in the Supreme Court of Queensland seeking specific performance in relation to a call option relating to a waste disposal site worth over \$20M where the grantor of the option disputes that the option was validly exercised.
- The purchaser under a contract for sale of land seeking specific performance where the vendor was said to have wrongfully terminated the contract.
- Two former employees of a large mortgage brokerage firm against proceedings in the Supreme Court of New South Wales where the firm alleges breaches of confidentiality provisions as well as restraint and non-solicitation provisions.